







Genomics

Certificate of Reviewing

Awarded for 2 reviews between April 2019 and May 2019 presented to

VL SHRIKANYA RAO KANTIMAHANTI

in recognition of the review contributed to the journal

The Editors of Genomics



Reviewer Page: Dr Sainath



Home New Articles (0) Get Certificate for Reviewed Articles (1) Personal Information Switch Journal/Account LOGOUT (Dr Sainath)

Please COMPLETE the following information in your Personal Information Page: Interest Area

Personal Messages(0)

Get Certificate for Reviewed Articles (1)

| Article Information | Select a Journal to Filter (or show all) | v | Select Journal to Get Certificate | Your Decision Decision Decision ISOLATION OF STEM CELL POPULATIONS FROM WHARTON'S JELLY SECTIONS OF UMBILICAL CORD AND COMPARISION ANALYSIS WITH CORD BLOOD DERIVED STEM CELLS | Publishable without revision [Your Comments] | Get a General Review Journal: Journal of Advanced Biotechnology and Experimental Therapeutics | Certificate | Certifica

eJManager Home About eJManager Terms & Conditions Reviewer Login Scikef Contact Us Privacy Policy Reviewer Login EJport Article Tools Editor Login I can't Login (Clear Session) I can't Login (Clear Session) I can't Login (Clear Session) or liability to eJManager.

CONTACT:

USA:
eJManager LLC
913 N Market Street, Suite 200, Wilmington, DE 19801, USA
Phone: (302) 355 0333 Fax: (302) 355 0334
Email: info@ejmanager.com



uday Allam <usallam@gmail.com>

Receipt of reviewer's report for SREP-18-12651A

1 message

scientificreports@nature.com <scientificreports@nature.com>Reply-To: scientificreports@nature.com
To: usallam@gmail.com

Sun, Sep 16, 2018 at 8:10 AM

Dear Dr Allam.

Thank you for submitting your report on "Evidence of waning BCG responses in healthy children; time to introduce a booster?" by Dr Whittaker et al. A copy of this report is attached below for your reference.

Best regards,

Manuscript Administration Scientific Reports 4 Crinan Street London N1 9XW

E-mail: scientificreports@nature.com

We greatly welcome your feedback as a peer reviewer for Scientific Reports. Please follow this link to complete a brief survey on your experience in reviewing this paper.

Is the manuscript technically sound?: Yes

Could the manuscript become technically sound with revision?: Yes

Are the conclusions supported by the evidence presented?: Yes

Are additional experiments or data required to support the conclusions?: N/A

Does the manuscript only duplicate previous work?: No

Appropriate use of statistics and treatment of uncertainties?: Yes

References: appropriate credit given to previous work?: Yes

Is the manuscript written clearly using Standard English?: Yes

Images (including electrophoretic gels and blots) are free from apparent manipulation?: Yes

Technical Comments to the Author:

No specific comments

Recommendation: Accept

Remarks to the Editor

I thank editor for considering me for reviewing this manuscript. To the best of my knowledge I went through the revised manuscript thoroughly. The manuscript may be accepted. Overall I am satisfied with the responses given by the author to comments however, I leave the rest to editor's choice.

Kindly accept my apologies for the late response. tanking you Dr.Uday